

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO:	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/700,561	11/16/2000	Gregory Ashton	AA315X/KL	6013	
27752	7590 06/03/2003			4	
	TER & GAMBLE COMF	: -	EXAMÍ	NER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			GRAYSON, ANGELA J		
	ER HILL AVENUE TI, OH 45224		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3765	15	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		LA service di St	1	Y.
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/700,561	ASHTON ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Angela J. Grayson, Esq.	3765	
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR is table. When the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static ply received by the Office later than three months after the mail of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lepty within the statutory minimum of thir d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communicati 3ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).	on.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{\it O6}$	March 2003 .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) 2b)	This action is non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under of Claims	wance except for formal ma er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	tters, prosecution as to the merits D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	is
4) 🛛 (Claim(s) $1-20$ is/are pending in the application	on.		
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) 🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1,4,5 and 7-10</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>2,3 and 6; 11-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Applicatio		·		
9)⊠ TI	he specification is objected to by the Examir	er.		
10) 🔲 TI	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗌 TI	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)	isapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office action.		
12)[] Th	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority un	ider 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•		
13)🛛 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠	All b) Some * c) None of:			
1	. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2	Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in A	pplication No	
	Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B te the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domes			tion).
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pricknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			
Attachment(s	5)			
2) Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trad TO-326 (Rev.		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 12	

Application/Control Number: 09/700,561 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 2, 3, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Under PCT Rules 46 and 66.8(b), the PCT examining officer rejected the amendments as being improperly made and as having no basis in the written description. Since the IA failed to allow the amendments, the amendments are not considered allowable under US practice either.
- 3. The handwritten amendments filed on page 29 lines 9-11 in the specification is objected to under PCT Article 34, Article 41, and 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material that is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: On page 29 lines 9-11 the definition of prestrained entire length is given. According to this definition it shall refer to the entire length of an elastic material under a condition where a part of or the entire length of the elastic material is prestrained in the lateral direction. However, by this definition only a part of the length of the elastic material can be prestrained. Therefore, it is not possible

Art Unit: 3765

to change in the other text "the entire unstrained length" by the "entirety" as done on pages 28 and 29. Therefore, all these amendments would extend over the content as filed.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 11-16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 96/31179 to Ashton.

As to claim 11, Ashton discloses a disposable garment having a front, back, and crotch region (Figure 1 members 26, 28, 30), disposable garment comprising a chassis, a waist elastomeric material (Figure 1 member 38; page 7 1st full ¶), a side elastomeric material (Figure 1 members 46,48), and seams joining parts of the disposable garment in the front region to parts of the disposable garment in the back region so as to form a waist opening and leg opening (Figure 1 member 32); wherein the continuous belt zone is extensible in an extension range up to an extension of at lest about 125%, the continuous belt zone has a modulus of extensibility in the extension range, the modulus of extensibility at the extension of 125% is not greater than about 150g/% extension and the force to obtain the extension of 125% is not greater than 5,000g (Figures 12-14; page 20 1st full ¶ - page 21 2nd full ¶).

As to claims 12-16, Ashton discloses an absorbent article wherein the modulus of extensibility in the extension range up to the extension of about 125% is not greater

Application/Control Number: 09/700,561

Art Unit: 3765

than about 150 g/% extension; modulus of extensibility at the extension of 135% is not greater than about 200 g/% extension; modulus of extensibility at the extension of 125% is not greater than about 120 g/% extension; modulus of extensibility in the extension range up to the extension of about 125% is not greater than about 120 g/% extension; the force to obtain the extension of 125% is not greater than about 4,500g. (Figures 12-14; page 20 1st full ¶ - page 21 2nd full ¶).

As to claims 19, Ashton discloses an absorbent article wherein the waistband panel has an original length before the waist elastomeric material is joined thereto, wherein the continuous extensible waistband is rendered extensible such that the continuous extensible waistband extends beyond the original length of the waistband panel. (Page 17 1st full ¶).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ashton.

As to claims 17 and 18, Ashton discloses a continuous belt zone but fails to disclose wherein the zone has an initial circumference of between about 220 mm and about 500mm; extensible up to a circumference of at least 650 mm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to define the continuous belt zone has having an initial circumference of between about

Application/Control Number: 09/700,561 Page 5

Art Unit: 3765

220 mm and about 500mm; extensible up to a circumference of at least 650 mm, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

- 8. Applicant's arguments, see Amendment A, filed March 6, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1, 4, 5, 7-10 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of PCT Articles 34 and 41, and rules 46 and 66.8. This rejection is made final since Applicant had notice of the failure to make a proper amendment by way of the previous action as well as via the international preliminary examination report. Applicant is advised to either cancel the claims for which the improper amendment was made or argue why Examiner should consider and properly amend.
- 9. Applicant's arguments filed in Amendment A on March 6, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with regard to claims 11-20. Applicant argues the Ashton reference does not disclose the claimed modulus of extensibility.

 Particularly that the Ashton reference parameters are not the same as those claimed by Applicant. Applicant asserts it is the job of the Examiner to show the parameters actually claimed are expressly disclosed or are inherent from the properties taught in the Ashton publication. However, the Examiner has met the burden of presenting a prima facie showing, particularly see page 20 1st full ¶ through page 21, and if there is a

Application/Control Number: 09/700,561

Art Unit: 3765

difference between the claimed subject matter and that of the reference such a difference is to be presented by the Applicant.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 4, 5, 7-10 are allowable.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela J. Grayson, Esq. whose telephone number is 703-305-1806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:30 am to 7:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on 703-305-1025. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 09/700,561

Art Unit: 3765

Page 7

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0873.

Angela J. Grayson My May 29, 2003

JOHOD. CALVERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700